UST CASE CLOSURE REVIEW SUMMARY REPORT

Current Agency Information

Current Agency: State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board)	Address: 1001 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814
Agency Caseworker: Matthew Cohen	Case No.: Not available

Former Agency Information

Former Agency: City of Los Angeles (Prior to July 1, 2013)	Address: 200 North Main Street, Suite 1780, Los Angeles, CA 90012
Agency Caseworker: Eloy Luna	Case No.: Not available

Case Information

USTCF Claim No.: 10334	GeoTracker Global ID: T0603700646
Site Name: Domestic Linen Supply	Site Address: 1600 Compton Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90021
Responsible Party: Macuga, Swartz & Liddle	Address: 1520 Ford Street Detroit, MI 48238
USTCF Expenditures to Date: $0	Number of Years Case Open: 20

URL: http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/profile_report.asp?global_id=T0603700646

Summary

The Low-Threat Underground Storage Tank (UST) Case Closure Policy (Policy) contains general and media-specific criteria, and cases that meet those criteria are appropriate for closure pursuant to the Policy. This case meets all of the required criteria of the Policy. Highlights of the case follow:

This case is an active laundry business. An unauthorized release was reported following the removal of three USTs (one gasoline, one diesel, one waste oil) in June 1993. Unknown volume of impacted soil was excavated to a depth of 12 feet in 1993 (ERI, 1995). The diesel UST was the source of the release, and the tank pit was later backfilled with imported base materials in 1994. No active remediation has been conducted and no groundwater monitoring wells have been installed. Soil confirmation sampling was performed to a maximum depth of 120 feet below ground surface (bgs), and the deepest soil impact is documented at 55 feet bgs. Depth to groundwater in the area is estimated to be 239 feet bgs (Los Angeles County Department of Water and Power [LACDPW)]. This is a soil only case.

The petroleum release is limited to the soil. According to data available in GeoTracker, there are no supply wells regulated by the California Department of Public Health or surface water bodies within 1,000 feet of the Site. No other water supply wells have been identified within 1,000 feet of the Site in files reviewed. Water is provided to water users near the Site by the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power and the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California. Designated beneficial uses of the groundwater are not threatened, and it is highly unlikely that they will be, considering these factors in the context of the site setting. Corrective

actions have been implemented and additional corrective actions are not necessary. Any remaining petroleum hydrocarbon constituents do not pose a significant risk to human health, safety or the environment.

Rationale for Closure under the Policy

- General Criteria: The case meets all eight Policy general criteria. Although soil was not tested for methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE), the Policy exception to the MTBE test requirement applies in this case because the source of the release was the diesel UST.
- Groundwater Specific Criteria: The case meets the Policy criteria. The deepest soil impact is documented at 55 feet bgs and the depth to groundwater is estimated to be 239 feet bgs (LACDPW, 2008). The groundwater is not impacted. There are not sufficient mobile constituents (leachate, vapors, or light non-aqueous liquids [LNAPL]) to cause groundwater to exceed the groundwater criteria in this Policy.
- Vapor Intrusion to Indoor Air: The case meets Policy Criterion 2b. Although no document titled "Risk Assessment" was found in the files reviewed, a professional assessment of site-specific risk from exposure through the vapor intrusion pathway was performed by Fund staff. The assessment found that there is no significant risk of petroleum vapors adversely affecting human health. The Site is paved and the groundwater is not impacted.
- Direct Contact and Outdoor Air Exposure: This case meets Policy Criterion 3b. Although no document titled "Risk Assessment" was found in the files reviewed, a professional assessment of site-specific risk from exposure through the direct exposure pathway was performed by Fund staff. The assessment of site-specific risk from potential exposure to residual soil contamination found that maximum concentrations of petroleum constituents remaining in soil will have no significant risk of adversely affecting human health. The Site is paved and accidental exposure to site soils is prevented.

Objections to Closure and Responses

According to the Path to Closure Plan in GeoTracker dated July 18, 2013:

- Secondary source has NOT been removed to the extent practicable.
  RESPONSE: According to the Policy, secondary source was removed by excavation in 1993.

Determination

Based on the review performed in accordance with Health & Safety Code Section 25299.39.2 subdivision (a), the Fund Manager has determined that closure of the case is appropriate.

Recommendation for Closure

Based on available information, residual petroleum hydrocarbons at the Site do not pose a significant risk to human health, safety, or the environment, and the case meets the requirements of the Policy. Accordingly, the Fund Manager recommends that the case be closed. The State Water Board is conducting public notification as required by the Policy.

Lisa Babcock

Lisa Babcock, P.G. 3939, C.E.G. 1235

9/21/14

Date

Prepared by: Kirk Larson